

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,330		12/30/2003	William H. Whitted	GOOGP015	4689
23689	7590	11/27/2006		EXAMINER	
Jung-hua			JIANG, CHEN WEN		
	Attorney At Law PO Box 3275			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Los Altos	, CA 9	4024	3744		
				DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/750,330	WHITTED, WILLIAM H.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chen-Wen Jiang	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	· ·	he correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuth Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22.5 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the condition of the c	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 30 December 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ction is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appli prity documents have been rec au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)	iai Matent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/750,330

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 2. Claims 1-3,5-17,19-29 and 31-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bash et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,786,056) in view of Spinazzola et al. (U.S. Patent Number 6,412,292) and further in view of Hergatt et al. (U.S. Patent Number 3,777,506).

In regard to claims 1 and 13, Bash et al. disclose a cooling system with evaporators distributed in parallel for future data center involved many small (1,000 to 2,000 sq. ft.) and readily deployable data centers that are akin to portable building or shipping containers (col.2, lines 35-38). The room 10 may comprise more than one room and the cooling system 20 may be configured to cool a plurality rooms. Bash et al. also disclose the cooling of the data center. Spinazzola et al. disclose the cooling air generated from a cooling apparatus into an air passageway formed below a floor and the cooling apparatus located outside the computer room.

Art Unit: 3744

Hergatt et al. disclose a separate container for air conditioner supplying cooling air to trailers or mobile homes. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the apparatus of Bash et al. with a passageway of Spinazzola et al. and mobile air conditioner of Hergatt et al. to cool the mobile computing system.

In regard to claims 2,3,5-12,14-17,19-29 and 31-44, official notice is taken these limitations are either obvious or used in the prior art which including Applicant provided prior art.

Conclusion

3. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (571) 272-4809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 8:00 to 6:30.

Art Unit: 3744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cheryl Tyler can be reached on (571) 272-4834. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner 4